

Family Name	Travis
Given Name	Susan
Person ID	1286279
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Travis
Given Name	Susan
Person ID	1286279
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The site is Green Belt and is proposed use is inconsistent with the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>The existing schools have been oversubscribed for many years, but there are no proposals for new schools to meet the needs of some 450 homes. This does not meet the NPPF and fails to meet PfE</p> <p>There is a great need for affordable homes not large detached ones. Again this does not meet the NPPF and fails to meet PfE. It is unjustified, when alternatives are available.</p> <p>There are large tracts of brownfield sites in Rochdale which have not been developed, and which are much closer to the town centre and transport interchanges, thus enabling easy access for the public; the proposed site is at the edge of the town. It has very poor access, and limited transport, meaning that a motor vehicle is needed. It seems that the site fails to meet PfE and is unsustainable, thus not complying with NPPF.</p> <p>This means that the NPPF and Between 500 and 1000 additional vehicles will be generated, adding to pollution. The existing roads have changed little since moving here in the 1960"s and are totally inadequate for the existing traffic densities resulting in long queues and delays during the week. Given the location of the proposals there is no proper facility for improvement; recently the local authority have considered a one-way traffic system but this will merely move congestion elsewhere. These all add up to too much pollution already - more vehicles and more delays mean more pollution. accordingly the site fails to meet PfE objectives and is inconsistent with NPPF.</p> <p>It is quite clear that there is no need to build on this site; once built on wildlife will be destroyed to the detriment of us all - particularly future generations who rely on us to look after their interests.</p> <p>This places a great responsibility on us to manage our environment.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>Summary:- The site is not justified and inconsistent with our National Policy and fails to comply with the PFE. Other options are clearly available but have not been used.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>JPA 19 BAMFORD/ NORDEN TO BE REMOVED FROM THE PFE</p>